DISCLAIMER: These guidelines were prepared jointly by the Surgical Critical Care and Medical Critical Care Services at Orlando Regional Medical Center. They are intended to serve as a general statement regarding appropriate patient care practices based upon the available medical literature and clinical expertise at the time of development. They should not be considered to be accepted protocol or policy, nor are intended to replace clinical judgment or dictate care of individual patients.

SMALL BOWEL OBSTRUCTION

SUMMARY

Small bowel obstructions have troubled patients and frustrated physicians for centuries. There has not been a true and reliable algorithm or definitive plan for management. In recent times with the advent of computed tomography to aid in the diagnosis, the outcome and clinical decision making process has become more accurate. Additionally, with advancements in operative techniques and imaging studies, treatment has since become more streamline. The data points to surgeons performing earlier operations which equate to better outcomes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Level 1
 - > All patients with suspected small bowel obstruction (SBO) should have a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis with oral and IV contrast.
 - > Non-operative management is acceptable in all stable patients.
 - > Patients with SBO that have strangulated hernia, peritonitis, fever, leukocytosis, tachycardia, and or acidosis should undergo timely exploration.
- Level 2
 - > CT scan findings that are suggestive of ischemia should prompt a low threshold to operate.
 - > Water soluble contrast (Gastrograffin) should be considered if a patient fails to progress to normal bowel function after 48 hrs (i.e., consider small bowel follow through [SBFT]).
 - > Complete obstruction demonstrated on SBFT requires surgical intervention.
 - > Patients experience better outcomes with early versus late exploration.
- Level 3
 - Laparoscopic exploration may be considered a safe alternative to open exploratory laparotomy; however, patient selection and surgeon experience are determining factors.
 - Nasogastric tubes can be considered for decompression.

INTRODUCTION

"Never let the sun rise or set on a bowel obstruction," was the motto preached to surgical residents a century ago, and these words still hold merit today. These words of wisdom may hold more truth than realized when they were originally spoken. In the modern era, there are several questions about adhesive small bowel obstructions (ABSO) that are under careful analysis and review. Several aspects of the care of these patients still plague surgeons today. When is the optimal time to operate? What patients require an operation? And what patients will gain the most benefit from non-operative management? Using the

EVIDENCE DEFINITIONS

- Class I: Prospective randomized controlled trial.
- Class II: Prospective clinical study or retrospective analysis of reliable data. Includes observational, cohort, prevalence, or case control studies.
- Class III: Retrospective study. Includes database or registry reviews, large series of case reports, expert opinion.
- Technology assessment: A technology study which does not lend itself to classification in the above-mentioned format. Devices are evaluated in terms of their accuracy, reliability, therapeutic potential, or cost effectiveness.

LEVEL OF RECOMMENDATION DEFINITIONS

- Level 1: Convincingly justifiable based on available scientific information alone. Usually based on Class I data or strong Class II evidence if randomized testing is inappropriate. Conversely, low quality or contradictory Class I data may be insufficient to support a Level I recommendation.
- Level 2: Reasonably justifiable based on available scientific evidence and strongly supported by expert opinion. Usually supported by Class II data or a preponderance of Class III evidence.
- Level 3: Supported by available data, but scientific evidence is lacking. Generally supported by Class III data. Useful for educational purposes and in guiding future clinical research.

literature as a guide to evidence based medicine, certain practices can help surgeons answer these challenging questions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Imaging Modalities

Level II and III data illustrates that CT scans with contrast are superior to radiographs in the diagnosis of SBO. CT scans have an accuracy of 83-94%. A critical finding in CT images that help to facilitate an accurate diagnosis of SBO include a clear transition point. This is a finding in which the proximal lumen of bowel is dilated and the distal portion is collapsed. Additionally, if there is failure of intraluminal contrast to progress through the lumen at the transition point or the colon is completely decompressed, this also suggests the presence of SBO. These two radiographic findings together may be indicative of a high-grade obstruction. Plain radiographs of the abdomen and pelvis are neither sensitive nor specific for obstruction.

Operative versus non-operative management

Retrospective data suggest that 60-80% of all ASBOs will respond to non-operative management. Non-operative management is defined as:

- 1. Nasogastric tube decompression
- 2. Fluid resuscitation
- 3. NPO (nothing by mouth)
- 4. Serial abdominal exams

The difficult question to answer is which patients will fail non-operative management. This is of particular importance because multiple studies have shown that delays in operative treatment lead to increases in mortality and complications. In a prospective study by Texiera et al., if surgery was delayed by 72 hours, there was a three-fold increase in mortality and a two-fold increase in infectious complications.

In order to elicit which patients will fail non-operative management, several prospective and retrospective studies have outlined factors that predict high probability of treatment failure. In a large prospective trial, Biondo et al randomized two arms of patients both undergoing non-operative management of ASBO. One arm was to receive Gastrograffin (100mL) if they failed to resolve within 48 hours, while the other arm would not receive the dose of Gastrograffin. At the conclusion of the study there was 85% resolution of the ASBO in the Gastrograffin arm with early operation on those that did not have progression of Gastrograffin into the colon within 24 hours. In the other arm there was a 55% operative rate with increased length of stay and complication rate. The study had no mortalities.

Galardi et al also showed that Gastrograffin studies (small-bowel follow through) allowed for a shorter time to diagnose complete bowel obstruction. This identified those that needed operative intervention earlier. Additionally, the patients that received Gastrograffin were more likely to be successfully managed with non-operative management. The authors postulated that Gastrograffin acts both as a pro-kinetic and as an osmotic agent, drawing water intraluminally from the bowel wall, reducing the edema and helping propel contents forward. Normal transit time to the colon should be approximately 3 to 6 hours.

The conclusions that can be extrapolated from the current literature is that SBFT seems to be a more definitive assessment of whether a SBO will resolve on its own or if operative intervention will be necessary.

The literature also agrees that if intervention is needed, early intervention is superior to delayed treatment. This fact was validated by Schrafinajel et al. who looked at 27,046 patients and concluded that those who underwent delayed treatment had worse outcomes. Once operative intervention has been chosen, there is a body of literature that shows laparoscopic exploration is a safe alternative to open laparotomy. The caveat is that patient selection, the difficulty of the operation, and surgeon's skill level all play an integral role in the success of the procedure. Joseph et al showed in retrospective analysis that early operation less than 48 hours with a laparoscope had a more rapid return of bowel function and decreased complication rates when compared to open exploratory laparotomy.

Kelly et al showed in a retrospective analysis that there was a decreased hospital length of stay and decreased complication rate for patients who underwent laparoscopic surgery for ASBO. This study included 9,000 patients, but only 14.9% were operated on laparoscopically.

Surgical Management

Any patient who has a strangulated hernia, peritonitis, tachycardia with acidosis, and an obstruction requires timely operative intervention. All authors agree on this topic. This patient population does not need an algorithm, and they are immediately deemed candidates for an exploratory operation in a timely manner.

REFERENCES

- Choi HK, Chu KW, Law WL. "Therapeutic Value of Gastrografin in Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction after Unsuccessful Conservative Treatment: A Prospective Randomized Trial." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, July 2002. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Cosse C, Regimbeau JM, Fuks D, Mauvais F, Scotte M. "Serum Procalcitonin for Predicting the Failure of Conservative Management and the Need for Bowel Resection in Patients with Small Bowel Obstruction." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, May 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Di Saverio S, Catena F,, Ansaloni L, Gavioli M, Valentino M, and Pinna AD. "Water-soluble Contrast Medium (gastrografin) Value in Adhesive Small Intestine Obstruction (ASIO): A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled, Clinical Trial." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Oct. 2008. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Fonseca AL, Schuster KM, Maung AA, Kaplan LJ, Davis KA. "Routine Nasogastric Decompression in Small Bowel Obstruction: Is It Really Necessary?" *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Apr. 2013. Web. 30 Apr. 2014.
- Galardi N, Collins J, Friend K. "Use of Early Gastrografin Small Bowel Follow-through in Small Bowel Obstruction Management." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Aug. 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Gowen GF. "Long Tube Decompression Is Successful in 90% of Patients with Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction." National Center for Biotechnology Information. U.S. National Library of Medicine, June 2003. Web. 30 Apr. 2014.
- Haule C, Ongom PA, and Kimuli T. "Efficacy of Gastrografin® Compared with Standard Conservative Treatment in Management of Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction at Mulago National Referral Hospital." National Center for Biotechnology Information. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Dec. 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Hok-Kwok Choi, FRCS (Edin), Kin-Wah Chu, FRCS (Edin), FACS, and Wai-Lun Law, FRCS (Edin), FACS. "Therapeutic Value of Gastrografin in Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction After Unsuccessful Conservative Treatment." *National Center for Biotechnology Information. U.S. National Library of Medicine*. Anals of Surgery, July 2002. Web.
- Joseph SP, Simonson M, Edwards C. "'Let's Just Wait One More Day': Impact of Timing on Surgical Outcome in the Treatment of Adhesion-related Small Bowel Obstruction." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Feb. 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Kelly KN, Iannuzzi JC, Rickles AS, Garimella V, Monson JR, Fleming FJ. "Laparotomy for Small-bowel Obstruction: First Choice or Last Resort for Adhesiolysis? A Laparoscopic Approach for Small-bowel Obstruction Reduces 30-day Complications." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Jan. 2014. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Maung AA, Johnson DC, Piper GL, Barbosa RR, Rowell SE, Bokhari F, Collins JN, Gordon JR, Ra JH, Kerwin AJ; Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. "Evaluation and Management of Small-bowel Obstruction: An Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma Practice Management Guideline." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Nov. 2012. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Paradis M. "Towards Evidence-based Emergency Medicine: Best BETs from the Manchester Royal Infirmary. BET 1: Is Routine Nasogastric Decompression Indicated in Small Bowel Occlusion?" *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Mar. 2014. Web. 30 Apr. 2014.

- Saleh F, Ambrosini L, Jackson T, Okrainec A. "Laparoscopic versus Open Surgical Management of Small Bowel Obstruction: An Analysis of Short-term Outcomes." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Mar. 2014. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Schraufnagel D, Rajaee S, Millham FH. "How Many Sunsets? Timing of Surgery in Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction: A Study of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Jan. 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Taylor MR, Lalani N. "Adult Small Bowel Obstruction." National Center for Biotechnology Information.
 U.S. National Library of Medicine, June 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Teixeira PG, Karamanos E, Talving P, Inaba K, Lam L, Demetriades D. "Early Operation Is Associated with a Survival Benefit for Patients with Adhesive Bowel Obstruction." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Sept. 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Trésallet C, Lebreton N, Royer B, Leyre P, Godiris-Petit G, Menegaux F. "Improving the Management of Acute Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction with CT-scan and Water-soluble Contrast Medium: A Prospective Study." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, Nov. 2009. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Winner M, Mooney SJ, Hershman DL, Feingold DL, Allendorf JD, Wright JD, Neugut AI. "Management and Outcomes of Bowel Obstruction in Patients with Stage IV Colon Cancer: A Population-based Cohort Study." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, July 2013. Web. 25 Apr. 2014.
- Zielinski MD, Eiken PW, Bannon MP, Heller SF, Lohse CM, Huebner M, Sarr MG. "Small Bowel Obstruction-who Needs an Operation? A Multivariate Prediction Model." *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. U.S. National Library of Medicine, May 2010. Web. 25 Apr. 2014

Surgical Critical Care Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines Committee

Primary Author: Paul Wisniewski, DO; Alvin T. de Torres, MD; Michael L. Renda, DO;

Lilly A. Bayouth, MD.

Editor: Michael L. Cheatham, MD Last revision date: 06/03/2014

Please direct any questions or concerns to: webmaster@surgicalcriticalcare.net